# Sensitivity and concordance of CD274 (PD-L1) expression by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) in comparison with three PD-L1 immunohistochemistry methods in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) Mary K. Nesline<sup>1</sup>, Sarabjot Pabla<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey M. Conroy<sup>1</sup>, Paul DePietro<sup>1</sup>, Shengle Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Roger Klein<sup>1</sup>, B.R. Achyut<sup>2</sup>, Rebec son<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Omniseg, Inc. (a subsidiary of Labcorp), Buffalo, NY <sup>2</sup> Labcorp, Durham, NC

# Introduction

PD-L1 expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) is associated with HNSCC immunotherapy response [1]. The performance of different PD-L1 IHC clones however, has shown variability and poor concordance for immune vs. tumor cell scoring in HNSCC. Crucially, this leads to poor reproducibility in the combined positive score (CPS) method by the PD-L1 IHC 22C3 companion diagnostic [2]. Alternatively, PD-L1 by mRNA next generation sequencing is objective and assesses both tumor and inflammatory background cells in the tumor microenvironment, potentiating a more robust assay than PD-L1 immunohistochemistry Here, we explored the clinical sensitivity and concordance of CD274 (PD-L1) expression by RNA-sequencing compared to three PD-L1 IHC methods.

## Methods

- A retrospective cohort of HNSCC patient FFPE tumor specimens (n=258) was tested by comprehensive immune profiling (2017-2022), including both PD-L1 by IHC and RNA-seq (CD274)[3]. Testing was performed in a CAP and CLIA certified lab as part of standard care.
- IHC was performed by 28-8 or 22C3 PD-L1 antibody. The 28-8 assay was scored based on % tumor cells stained (TC, n=34), while the 22C3 assay was scored based either on tumor proportion score (TPS, n=61) or combined positive score (CPS, n=163); the FDA-approved companion diagnostic method for frontline pembrolizumab immunotherapy in HNSCC.
- Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) models for each PD-L1 IHC method were constructed for 5 sets of patients with different pairwise interpretation groups, and used to determine RNA-seq cutoffs and assess the clinical sensitivity of PD-L1 (CD274) by RNA-seq.
- Concordance between standard PD-L1 IHC assay and scoring methods vs. *CD274* by RNA-seq was assessed.

					_				_	
Tabla	1		nationt	abaractaristica	h			antihady		<b>COO</b>
ladie	Т.	<b>HNJLL</b>	Datient	characteristics	UV	PD-LL	INC	antipody	anu	SCO

		IHC 22C3 CPS	IHC 22C3 TPS	IHC
		≥20 (High)	≥50 (High)	≥50
		1-19 (Low)	1-49 (Low)	1-4
		<1 (Negative)	<1 (Negative)	<1 (1
		(n=163)	(n= 61)	()
Age	Average	66	64	
Sov	Female	36 (21.5)	10 (16.4)	
JEX	Male	128 (78.5)	51 (83.6)	
	High	77 (47.2)	15 (24.6)	
PD-LI INC	Low	79 (48.5)	28 (45.9)	
Interpretation	Negative	7 (04.2)	18 (29.5)	
	Distant Metastasis	26 (16.0)	11 (18.0)	
	Larynx	17 (10.4)	0 (00.0)	
	, Lymph Node	29 (17.8)	12 (19.7)	
_	Oral Cavity	29 (17.8)	4 (06.6)	
Tissue Site	Other Local	8 (04.9)	3 (04.9)	
	Paranasal sinuses	1 (00.6)	2 (03.3)	
	Pharynx	27 (16.6)	17 (27.9)	
	Salivary gland	4 (02.5)	6 (09.8)	
	Soft tissue	22 (13.5)	6 (09.8)	
	Primary	73 (49.1)	27 (44.3)	
Tissue status	Metastatic	80 (49.1)	33 (54.1)	
	Missing	10 (06.1)	1 (01.6)	
Tumor cell %	Average	56	59	

### REFERENCES

Validation of a Next-Generation Sequencing Assay to Monitor Immune Responses in Solid Tumors," J. Mol. Diagnostics, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 95–109, Jan. 2018. [1] NCCN, "NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) Head and Neck Cancers, Version 2.2022," National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Fort Washington, Pennsylvania, Version 2.2022, Mar. [2] J. Ribbat-Idel et al., "Performance of Different Diagnostic PD-L1 Clones in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma," Front. Med., vol. 8, no. April, pp. 1–8, 2021. [3] J. M. Conroy et al., "Analytical

Presented at SITC 2022 (Abstract #173) ©2022 Laboratory Corporation of America<sup>®</sup> Holdings All rights reserved.

oring method 28-8 TC 0 (High) Total 19 (Low) (n=258) Vegative) n=34) 66 65 5 (14.7) 50 (19.4) 29 (85.3) 208 (80.6) 9 (26.5) 101 (39.1) 19 (55.9) 126 (48.8) 6 (17.6) 31 (12.0) 43 (16.7) 6 (17.6) 19 (07.4) 2 (05.9) 7 (20.6) 48 (18.6) 5 (14.7) 38 (14.7) 4 (11.8) 15 (05.8) 4 (01.6) 1 (02.9) 3 (08.8) 47 (18.2) 0 (00.0) 10 (03.9) 6 (17.6) 34 (13.2) 119 (49.1) 19 (55.9) 128 (49.6) 15 (44.1) 0 (00.0) 9 (03.4) 75 59

# Results

Table 2. Receiver Operator Characteristics (ROC) analysis to identify CD274 (PD-L1) RNA-seq cutoffs							
METHOD	PD-L1 IHC Model*	RNA-Seq Cutoff*	AUC	Sensitivit y	Specificity	Youden Index	p-value
	High vs Negative	68	0.809	0.636	0.785	0.421	0.007
CDS(n-162)	Low vs Negative	51	0.646	0.443	0.714	0.157	0.201
>20 (High)	High vs Low	60	0.758	0.753	0.646	0.399	<.001
220 (Figh) 1-19 (Low) <1 (Negative)	Positive (High + Low) vs Negative High vs Not High (Low +	59	0.727	0.561	0.714	0.275	0.043
	Negative)	59	0.762	0.753	0.634	0.387	<.001
	High vs Negative	73	0.924	0.733	0.889	0.622	<.001
	Low vs Negative	55	0.741	0.571	0.778	0.329	0.006
$\sum_{n=0}^{n=01}$	High vs Low	74	0.838	0.700	0.714	0.414	<.001
250 (⊓igii) 1-49 (Low) <1 (Negative)	Positive (High + Low) vs Negative	66	0.805	0.512	0.888	0.400	<.001
	High vs Not High (Low +	66	0 872	0.867	0 728	0 595	< 001
<b>TC (n=34)</b> ≥50 (High) 1-49 (Low)	High vs Negative	56	0.981	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.002
	Low vs Negative	58	0.877	0.605	1.000	0.605	0.006
	High vs Low	82	0.804	0.778	0.684	0.462	0.011
	Positive (High + Low) vs Negative	68	0.911	0.607	1.000	0.607	0.002
T (INEgalive)	High vs Not High (Low + Negative)	74	0.847	0.778	0.660	0.438	0.002





rca Provis <sup>2</sup>	Prasanth	Reddy <sup>2</sup>	Shakti	Ramkissoon <sup>2</sup>	Fric Sovers
	i i doditeti		onance	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	



- first line immunotherapy.



 RNA-seq accurately discerns PD-L1 high vs. not high HNSCC tumors based on standard IHC scoring methods and may more reliably select patients for

• RNA-seq does not distinguish PD-L1 low vs. negative HNSCC tumors, suggesting there may be no difference between these patient groups.